

# Some categorical perspectives on institutions

David I. Spivak

## 1 Reformulating the usual definition

For any category  $\mathcal{S}$ , there are equivalences of categories

$$\text{Fun}(\mathcal{S}, \text{Set}^{\text{op}}) \cong \text{DFib}_{\mathcal{S}} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Fun}(\mathcal{S}, \text{Set}) \cong \text{DoFib}_{\mathcal{S}}. \quad (1)$$

where  $\text{DFib}_{\mathcal{S}}$  is the category of discrete fibrations over  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\text{DoFib}_{\mathcal{S}}$  is the category of discrete opfibrations over  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** An *institution base* consists of a category  $\mathcal{S}$  (*signatures*), a discrete opfibration  $\lambda: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  (*sentences*), and a discrete fibration  $\rho: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  (*models*).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N} & & \mathcal{M} \\ & \searrow \lambda & \swarrow \rho \\ & \mathcal{S} & \end{array}$$

Given  $f: s \rightarrow s'$ , and  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  with  $\lambda(n) = s$ , write  $n \rightarrow f_!(n)$  for the (unique) cocartesian map in  $\mathcal{N}$ ; similarly, given  $m' \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\rho(m') = s'$ , write  $f^*(m') \rightarrow m'$  for the (unique) cartesian map in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

An  $\mathcal{S}$ -indexed satisfaction relation consists of a subset  $\vDash_s \subseteq \lambda^{-1}(s) \times \rho^{-1}(s)$  for each  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  such that, for each  $f: s \rightarrow s'$  with  $n \in \lambda^{-1}(s)$  and  $m' \in \rho^{-1}(s')$ , we have

$$n \vDash_s f^*(m') \quad \text{iff} \quad f_!(n) \vDash_{s'} m' \quad (2)$$

where we write  $n \vDash_s m$  to denote  $(n, m) \in \vDash_s$ .

An *institution*  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{M}, \rho, \mathcal{N}, \lambda, \vDash)$  consists of an institution base together with an  $\mathcal{S}$ -indexed satisfaction relation.

*Remark 1.2.* The above definition of institution is only cosmetically different from the usual one. The usual one considers a category  $\text{Sign}$  and a pair of functors  $\text{Sen}: \text{Sign} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  and  $\text{Mod}: \text{Sign} \rightarrow \text{Set}^{\text{op}}$ . In ??,  $\text{Sign}$  is replaced with  $\mathcal{S}$ , and the two set-valued functors are replaced by a discrete opfibration  $\lambda$  and a discrete fibration  $\rho$ ; this is equivalent by ??. We also switch the direction of the  $\vDash$  symbol, to make the coherence equation (??) look more familiar to category theorists.

*Remark 1.3.* The discrete fibrations and opfibrations in ?? can be replaced by non-discrete versions, for an equally interesting notion of institution.

**Proposition 1.4.** *Both discrete fibrations and discrete opfibrations are stable under pullback:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P} \\ F' \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow F \\ \mathcal{S}' & \xrightarrow{G} & \mathcal{S} \end{array}$$

*i.e. if  $G$  is any functor and  $F$  is a discrete fibration or a discrete opfibration, then so is  $F'$*

**Proposition 1.5.** *An institution can be identified with a commutative square of categories*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{J} & \\ \rho' \swarrow & & \searrow \lambda' \\ \mathcal{N} & & \mathcal{M} \\ \lambda \searrow & & \swarrow \rho \\ & \mathcal{S} & \end{array} \quad (3)$$

such that

1.  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$  are discrete opfibrations,
2.  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  are discrete fibrations, and
3. the induced map  $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{N}$  is a monomorphism.

It will follow automatically that  $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{N}$  is the inclusion of a full subcategory.

*Proof.* Given  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{N} \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{M}$ , define  $\vDash_s$  for any  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  on a given pair  $(n, m) \in (\lambda^{-1}(s) \times \rho^{-1}(s) = \mathcal{N} \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{M})$  by writing  $n \vDash_s m$  iff  $(n, m) \in \mathcal{J}$ . We need to show that it satisfies the coherence condition (??), so take any  $f: s \rightarrow s'$  with  $n \in \rho^{-1}(s)$  and  $m' \in \rho^{-1}(s')$ . First suppose  $n \vDash_s f^*(m')$ . Then  $i := (n, f^*(m')) \in \mathcal{J}$  and we have a cartesian map  $\lambda'(i) = f^*(m') \rightarrow m'$  over  $f$ , so we get a cocartesian lift  $f'': i \rightarrow i'$  where  $\lambda(i') = m'$ . We want to show that  $i'$  represents  $f_!(n) \vDash_{s'} m'$ , and it suffices to show  $\rho'(i') = f_!(n)$ . Since  $f = \rho(\lambda'(f'')) = \lambda(\rho'(f''))$ , this follows from the uniqueness of cocartesian lifts  $n \rightarrow n'$  over  $f$ . The argument that  $f_!(n) \vDash_{s'} m'$  implies  $n \vDash_s f^*(m')$  is similar.

Now suppose that one has an institution  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{M}, \rho, \mathcal{N}, \lambda, \vDash)$ ; define  $\mathcal{J}$  to be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{M} \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{N}$  spanned by the objects  $(n, m)$  for which  $n \vDash m$ . We need to show that  $\lambda'$  (obtained by restricting the projection  $\mathcal{N} \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ ) is a discrete opfibration; showing that  $\rho'$  is a discrete fibration is similar. Suppose given  $(n, m) \in \mathcal{J}$  and a map  $f': m \rightarrow m'$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ ; we need to find a cocartesian lift  $f'': (n, m) \rightarrow (n', m')$  over it, for some  $n'$ . All maps in  $\mathcal{M}$  are cartesian, so there is some  $f: \rho(m) \rightarrow \rho(m')$  over which  $f'$  is cartesian, i.e.  $m = f^*(m')$ , and we have a cocartesian lift  $n \rightarrow f_!(n)$  in  $\mathcal{N}$ ; take  $n' := f_!(n)$ . By definition,  $n \vDash m$  holds and implies  $n' \vDash m'$ , so  $(n', m') \in \mathcal{J}$ . Since  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{N}$  is full, it contains the required morphism.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.6** (Remy Tuyeras's reformulation). *An institution can be identified with a category  $\mathcal{S}$ , functors  $N: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  and  $M: \mathcal{S}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ , and a subset  $I$  of the coend  $\int^{s \in \mathcal{S}} N(s) \times M(s)$ .*

*Proof.* A subset of  $f^{\mathcal{S}} N(s) \times M(s)$  can be identified with a function  $I: (f^{\mathcal{S}} N(s) \times M(s)) \rightarrow \{\top, \perp\}$ . Since a coend is a universal co-wedge, this can in turn be identified with a function  $I_s: N(s) \times M(s) \rightarrow \{\top, \perp\}$  for each  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ , such that for all  $s, s' \in \mathcal{S}$ , the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 N(s) \times \mathcal{S}(s, s') \times M(s') & \xrightarrow{N(s) \times M(-)} & N(s) \times M(s) \\
 \downarrow N(-) \times M(s') & & \downarrow I(s) \\
 N(s') \times M(s') & \xrightarrow{I(s')} & \{\top, \perp\}
 \end{array}$$

This in turn can be identified with a subset  $I_s \subseteq N(s) \times M(s)$  such that for each  $f: s' \rightarrow s$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  and elements  $n \in N(s)$  and  $m' \in M(s')$  we have  $I_s(m', N(f)(n))$  iff  $I_{s'}(M(f)(m'), n)$ . This is the definition of institution from ??  $\square$

*Example 1.7.* Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be any category, choose objects  $a, b \in \mathcal{C}$ , and choose a subset  $S \subseteq \mathcal{C}(a, b)$  of the hom-set. We can create an institution from this data as follows. Use the slice category over  $b$  as the models,  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{C}_{/b}$ , where  $\rho(c \rightarrow b) := c$ . Similarly, use the coslice under  $a$  as the sentences,  $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{C}_{a/}$ , where  $\lambda(a \rightarrow c) := c$ . We still need to define  $\mathcal{J}$ ,  $\lambda'$ , and  $\rho'$  in the diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{J} & \\
 \lambda' \swarrow & & \searrow \rho' \\
 \mathcal{C}_{/b} & & \mathcal{C}_{a/} \\
 \rho \searrow & & \swarrow \lambda \\
 & \mathcal{C} &
 \end{array}$$

Define  $\mathcal{J}$  to have as objects  $\{(a \xrightarrow{f} c \xrightarrow{g} b) \mid g \circ f \in S\}$  and as morphisms all maps  $c \rightarrow c'$  making the obvious diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 a & \xrightarrow{f'} & c' \\
 f \downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow g' \\
 c & \xrightarrow{g} & b
 \end{array}$$

Take  $\lambda'(f, g) = f$  and  $\rho'(f, g) = g$ . It is easy to check that  $\lambda'$  is a discrete opfibration and that  $\rho'$  is a discrete fibration.

*Example 1.8.* Let  $\pi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a posetal bifibration, i.e. the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(b)$  is a poset for every  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , and  $\pi$  is both a fibration and an opfibration. In particular, the cocartesian map and the cartesian map over any given  $f: b \rightarrow b'$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  are adjoint. Then  $\mathcal{E}$  can be identified with a diagonal subcategory  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{E}$ , and this gives a (non-discrete) institution because the required fibrations and opfibrations are identities  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ .

In the language of institutions, the category of sentences is the same as the category of models, and a sentence  $n$  is modeled by a model  $m$  iff there is a map  $n \rightarrow m$ ; this perhaps gives a reason that ?? looks like an adjunction.

*Example 1.9.* Take  $\mathcal{S} := \text{Cat}$  and take  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N} = \text{DoFib}$ , with  $\lambda$  and  $\rho$  acting on objects by sending a discrete opfibration  $E \rightarrow B$  to its codomain  $B$ , but with  $\rho$  given by pullback and  $\lambda$  given by left Kan extension. Objects in the pullback (not pseudo or lax pullback)  $\mathcal{N} \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{M}$  can be identified with pairs of functors  $I, J: C \rightarrow \text{Set}$  for some category  $C \in \mathcal{S}$ . We define  $\mathcal{J}$  to be those  $(I, J)$  for which a natural transformation  $I \rightarrow J$  exists.

*Example 1.10.* Consider the (underlying 1-category of the) category  $\text{Topos}$  of toposes and geometric morphisms; this will serve as the category of signatures. Let  $\text{pt}: \text{Topos} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$  denote the functor that sends each topos to its category of points; the points will serve as the “models” of a topos.

For any topos  $\mathcal{E}$ , we have a poset  $\mathcal{E}(1, \Omega_{\mathcal{E}})$  of truth values; here  $\Omega_{\mathcal{E}}$  is the subobject classifier of  $\mathcal{E}$ , and we can identify a truth value with a subobject  $e \subseteq 1$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Given a geometric morphism  $(f_*, f^*): \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ , there is an induced functor  $\mathcal{E}(1, \Omega_{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(1, \Omega_{\mathcal{F}})$  given by sending a subobject  $e \subseteq 1$  to the subobject  $f^*(e) \subseteq f^*(1) = 1$ . Thus we get a map  $\text{Topos}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ ; this will serve as the sentences.

Finally, given any point  $p: \text{Set} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  and truth value  $e \subseteq 1$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ , we have a subset  $p^*(e) \subseteq \{1\}$  in  $\text{Set}$ , and we write  $p \models e$  iff  $p^*(e) = \{1\}$ .